another class, it is noted that both classes would still have to be searched if the requirement is

made final since independent Claims 1 and 8 are indicated as generic in the Office Action.

Furthermore, since electronic searching is commonly performed, a search may be made in a

large number of, or theoretically all, classes and subclasses without substantial additional

effort. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the Election of Species requirement on

the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a serious

burden on the Examiner, whereas it would be a serious burden on Applicants to prosecute and

maintain separate applications.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single species be

withdrawn, and a full examination on the merits of Claims 1 and 3-16 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Vi B. LL

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/07)

Richard L. Chinn Attorney of Record

Registration No. 34,305

Colin B. Harris

Registration No. 58,969